Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter Π of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 664271	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPI	EA/416		
International application No.	International filing date (day/m	onth/year) Priority date (day/	month/year)		
PCT/JP2004/005708	21.04.2004	23.04.20	003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati	onal classification and IPC				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Applicant					
YUYAMA MFG. CO., LTD.	_				
	•				
	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5	sheets, including this cover sheet.			
 This report is also accompanied by A 	NNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a to	otal of 6	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
Box.					
b (sent to the International i	Bureau only) a total of (indicate	type and number of electronic carrie	x (s))		
		, containing a seq	uence listing and/or tables		
•	• .	ed in the Supplemental Box Relatin	g to Sequence Listing (see		
Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the	report				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step and industri	al applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unit	ty of invention				
		h regard to novelty, inventive step or	r industrial applicability;		
	d explanations supporting such s	fatement			
	uments cited				
	ects in the international applicati				
Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the international ap	plication			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this report	· ·		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		zed officer			
Facsimile No.		ne No.			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005708

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internation cated under this item.	al application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise
•	With	This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposition international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/oth regard to the elements of the international application, this regard to the elements of the international application, this regard to the elements of the international application, this regard to the elements of the international application, this regard to the elements of the international application, this regard to the elements of the international application.	or 55.3)	heats which have been furnished to the
2.	rece	iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are report): the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:		
		pages* 2,3,4,4/1	received by this Authority on	
		pages*	•	13.07.2003
	\square	the claims:	Teccived by this Admortly on	***
	الحسكا			
				as originally filed/furnished
		nos*		
		nos*	_	13.07.2003
	\boxtimes			•
		the drawings:		
		sheets fig. 1-19		
		sheets*		
	$\overline{}$	sheets*	received by this Authority on _	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ntal Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting.
3.	Ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	-	_
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendathey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005708

Box	s No. II Priority
1.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
2.	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:
	The inventions that are disclosed in claims 1 to 7
	of the document JP 2003-118147 A (JP 2004-188167 B),
	which serve as the basis for claiming the priority rights
	of the present application, in turn claim rights of
	priority based on the inventions that are disclosed in
	the document JP 2002-304385 A; therefore, the document JP
	2003-118147 A (JP 2004-188167 B) cannot be considered to
	be the earliest application.
L	·

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005708

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1. Statement		
Novelty	N) Claims 1-8	YES
	Claims	
Inventiv	step (IS) Claims	YES
	Claims 1-8	
Industri	applicability (IA) Claims 1-8	VID.6
	Claims	
	l explanations (Rule 70.7)	
Docum	ent 1: WO 2001/39874 A1 (Baxter International Inc.)	,
	07 June 2001, entire text, all drawings & JR	P
	2003-515439 A & EP 1148936 A1	
Docum	ent 2: JP 2002-230162 A (Medical Bank Kabushiki	
	Kaisha), 16 August 2002, entire text, all	
	drawings, and fig. 5 in particular (Family:	
	none)	
Docum	ent 3: JP 2000-311205 A (Yuyama Mfg. Co., Ltd.), 07	
	November 2000, entire text, all drawings,	
	and fig. 6 in particular (Family: none)	
Docum	ent 4: JP 11-213058 A (Terumo Corp.), 06 August	
	1999, entire text, all drawings (Family:	
	none)	
Docum	ent 5: JP 08-278980 A (Fuji Xerox Co., Ltd.), 22	
	October 1996, entire text, all drawings	
	(Family: none)	
	The inventions set forth in claims 1 and 3 to 8 do	
not i	nvolve an inventive step in the light of documents	
1, 3	and 4 cited in the international search report and	
newly	cited document 2.	

Document 1 discloses the feature of creating a database of the compatibility characteristics that result

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005708

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

when combining different pharmaceutical agents. Therein, it cannot be considered to be especially difficult to conceive of employing the technical features that are disclosed in document 2 (fig. 5) or document 3 (fig. 2), wherein an index is configured from combinations of pharmaceutical agents in which the component pharmaceutical products have been reordered, in order to configure the data structure of the database in question. In addition, the combination order is not taken into account during the searches that are carried out during steps 5 to 7 and steps 15 to 17 of the invention that is disclosed in document 4; therefore, the invention that is disclosed in document 4 can be considered to have resolved the same issues as the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 and 3 to 8.

The inventions set forth in claims 2 to 8 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 4 and newly cited document 5. It cannot be considered to be especially difficult to conceive of substituting the index that employs a hash function, which is disclosed in document 5, for the sequential search means in each of the steps of the invention that is disclosed in document 4.